# **SHORTLISTING FORM – Biobank of the Year Award 2021**

*To be completed by the UKCRC TDCC judging panel*

This shortlisting form is designed to be broad, to encompass the strengths of different types of Human Sample Resources. It is not expected that applicants will score points on all criteria.

Score: 0 = Not met 1 = Partially met 2 = Fully met

|  | Applicant Nº | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Selection Criteria** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| **Impact of Research** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has been published in peer reviewed journal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has led to further collaboration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has led to further income generation (funding, cost recovery systems, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has led to research impact not been listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **The role of the Resource** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Resource provides guidance or expertise to researchers seeking samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Resource has quantified their access requests received and approved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Resource modifies its practices to enable the research (i.e. flexibly reacts to accommodate researchers’ needs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Added Value** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource provides clinical data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource provides additional data or information associated with samples which may impact use in research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource integrates into wider clinical research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource provides service not available elsewhere |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource outlines area of added value not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Fit for purpose** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource’s quality system is suitable for the intended research need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associated data are of suitable availability and quality for the research need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource’s capabilities (prospective collection) are suitable for research need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource outlines area of ‘fit for purpose’ not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Engagement** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patients and/or public have been involved in internal processes, access decisions, consenting patients or other activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has led to recognition within the organisation (media/communications, awards etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Case study outlines how research has been disseminated externally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research has led to recognition outside of the organisation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource has been involved in engagement activities with researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource outlines engagement activities not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |